IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA :

vs. : Criminal No. 18-101-09

:

WILLIAM DEMEDIO

.

<u>DEFENDANT DEMEDIO'S MOTION TO JOIN DEFENDANT MITCHELLWHITE'S</u> <u>MOTION TO SUSPEND TRIAL DATE</u>

Defendant William Demedio, by his undersigned counsel,respectfully joins in Defendant Mitchell White's Motion to Suspend Trial Date Due to Government Breaches of Fed. R. Crim. P. 16 and *Brady v. Maryland*. (ECF No. 410). Dr. Demedio states as follows:

- 1. Dr. Demedio joins defendant White's request to suspend the trial date.
- 2. Similar to defendant White, if not for the Government's late production of evidence, Dr. Demedio was fully prepared to begin trial on May 31, 2022.
- 3. To elaborate, the Government's late production of more than 75 hours of audio and video recordings includes hours of undercover footage of Dr. Nikpavar-Fard; co-defendant Joanne Rivera, who is a critical witness for the Government; and others at Advanced Urgent Care. Most of the recordings are from 2017 from a previously undisclosed source. The reports related to the recordings indicate that then Assistant United States Attorney Jason Bologna was briefed on the recordings and used information obtained from the previously undisclosed source to obtain a search warrant to search Dr. Nikpavar-Fard's home.
- 4. Additionally, the critical patient interviews that defendant White points out as containing clear exculpatory evidence (ECF No. 410 at 5-6) were of a patient who is specifically addressed by the Government's expert witness. Undersigned counsel are

- concerned that there may be other reports and recordings of patient interviews that have not yet been produced.
- 5. The Government's late productions also included more than 300 patient files (containing almost 70,000 pages) that were previously "available," but that the Government had refused to reproduce.
- 6. From counsel's limited review of these productions to date, it is evident that the productions include exculpatory evidence that should have been produced years ago, including many instances showing Advanced Urgent Care was providing care to patients. This newly produced evidence will require additional follow-up with the Government to ensure that nothing else has been withheld and through other investigatory avenues to adequately prepare a defense.
- 7. In fact, as of this writing, the Government still has not provided basic additional information about this newly disclosed source that other counsel requested at the May 12, 2022 hearing, such as whether the source had a cooperation agreement or was paid. Reports related to the source further indicate that the Government could have intervened on the source's behalf in state criminal proceedings or assisted him in obtaining drug rehabilitation treatment. But the Government has yet to provide any additional information about the source.
- 8. As defendant White noted, this is not the first time the Government has dumped material on the defendants at the last minute, except that this situation is even more egregious than what occurred in February 2020 before the April 2020 trial date, because the Government had two additional years to "find" this discovery, the volume of discovery produced is far more extensive, the discovery includes exculpatory evidence, and the trial date was even closer in time.
- 9. Thus, Dr. Demedio joins in defendant White's incredulity that the Government could

Case 2:18-cr-00101-GEKP Document 415 Filed 05/26/22 Page 3 of 4

claim it is ready to proceed to trial on May 31, 2022. It is equally incredulous that the

Government represented to the Court in January 2022 that it was ready for trial, when all

of this evidence had been withheld, and then waited four more months to produce it. Dr.

Demedio agrees that he, too, should not bear responsibility under the Constitution or the

Speedy Trial Act for a continuance when it is the Government's actions that necessitated

this request.

10. Dr. Demedio waives neither his Sixth Amendment rights to a speedy trial nor his Fifth

Amendment rights to due process and the effective assistance of counsel. It is now

impossible for these fundamental rights to be met fairly. Like defendant White, Dr.

Demedio plans to file a motion to dismiss the superseding indictment, and respectfully

requests that the May 31 trial date be suspended while the motion is prepared.

FOR ALL OF THESE REASONS, defendant respectfully requests that Defendant Mitchell

White's Motion to Suspend Trial Date Due to Government Breaches of *Brady v. Maryland* and Fed.

R. Crim. P. 16 be granted and that an ends of justice continuance is not warranted due to the

Government's pattern of a lack of diligence.

Date: May 26, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Kevin T. Birley

Kevin T. Birley, Esq.

3

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the Defendant William Demedio's Motion To Join was served by efiling and e-mail, on the following government and co-defendant counsel:

AUSA Christopher Parisi AUSA Mary Kay Costello

/s/ Kevin T. Birley Kevin T. Birley, Esq.

Date: May 26, 2022